

Interest on judgments.

SEC. 57. The last sentence of subsection (b) of section 2516 of Title 28, United States Code, is amended by inserting immediately after the word "allowed" where it appears in such sentence the words "for any period", so that such subsection will read as follows:

"(b) Interest on judgments against the United States affirmed by the Supreme Court after review on petition of the United States shall be paid at the rate of four percent per annum from the date of the filing of the transcript of the judgment in the Treasury Department to the date of the mandate of affirmance. Such interest shall not be allowed for any period after the term of the Supreme Court at which the judgment was affirmed."

Fees.

SEC. 58. Subsection (a) of section 2520 of Title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking out where it appears in such subsection the words "and the hearing of any case before the court, a judge, or a commissioner", so that such subsection will read as follows:

"(a) The Court of Claims shall by rules impose a fee not exceeding \$10, for the filing of any petition."

SEC. 59. (a) Chapter 165 of Title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof a new section to be designated as section 2521 entitled "Subpoenas" and to read as follows:

#### "§ 2521. Subpoenas

"Subpoenas requiring the attendance of parties or witnesses and subpoenas requiring the production of books, papers, documents or tangible things by any party or witness having custody or control thereof, may be issued for purposes of discovery or for use of the things produced as evidence in accordance with the rules and orders of the court. Such subpoenas shall be issued and served and compliance therewith shall be compelled as provided in the rules and orders of the court."

(b) The analysis to chapter 165 of Title 28, United States Code, immediately preceding section 2501 of such title, is amended by adding at the end thereof a new item 2521 to read as follows:

"2521. Subpoenas."

Approved September 3, 1954.

### Public Law 780

CHAPTER 1264

#### AN ACT

September 3, 1954  
[H. R. 9859]

Authorizing the construction, repair, and preservation of certain public works on rivers and harbors for navigation, flood control, and for other purposes.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

River and Harbor  
Act of 1954.

#### TITLE I—RIVERS AND HARBORS

SEC. 101. That the following works of improvement of rivers and harbors and other waterways for navigation, flood control, and other purposes are hereby adopted and authorized to be prosecuted under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and supervision of the Chief of Engineers, in accordance with the plans and subject to the conditions recommended by the Chief of Engineers in the respective reports hereinafter designated: *Provided*, That the provisions of section 1 of the River and Harbor Act approved March 2, 1945 (Public, Numbered 14, Seventy-ninth Congress, first session), shall govern with respect to projects authorized in this title; and the procedures therein set forth with respect to plans, proposals, or reports for works of improvement for navigation or flood control and for irrigation and purposes incidental thereto, shall apply as if herein set forth in full:

59 Stat. 10.

Wisconsin.

Cornucopia Harbor, Wisconsin: House Document Numbered 434, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$220,000;

Sheboygan Harbor, Wisconsin: House Document Numbered 554, Eighty-second Congress, at an estimated cost of \$217,200;

Michigan.

Holland Harbor, Michigan: House Document Numbered 282, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$574,400: *Provided*, That local interests will contribute 25 per centum of the cost of dredging Section B, but not to exceed \$45,500, in addition to the local co-operation required by the project document;

Crooked and Indian Rivers, Michigan: House Document Numbered 142, Eighty-second Congress, at an estimated cost of \$225,000;

Saginaw River, Michigan: In accordance with the report of the Chief of Engineers, dated June 7, 1954, at an estimated cost of \$4,496,800;

Ohio.

Toledo Harbor, Ohio: House Document Numbered 620, Eighty-first Congress, at an estimated cost of \$512,000;

Ashtabula Harbor, Ohio: House Document Numbered 486, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$4,900,000;

Pennsylvania.

Erie Harbor, Pennsylvania: House Document Numbered 345, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$174,000;

New York.

Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor, New York: House Document Numbered 423, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$270,000;

Little River at Cayuga Island, Niagara Falls, New York: House Document Numbered 246, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$36,900;

Oswego Harbor, New York: House Document Numbered 487, Eighty-first Congress, at an estimated cost of \$2,459,000;

California.

Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors, California: House Document Numbered 161, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$896,500: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Army is hereby authorized to reimburse local interests for such work as they may have done upon this project prior to July 1, 1953, at actual cost to local interests insofar as the same shall be approved by the Chief of Engineers and found to have been done in accordance with the project hereby adopted: *Provided further*, That such reimbursement shall be subject to appropriations applicable thereto or funds available therefor and shall not take precedence over other pending projects of higher priority for harbor improvement: *And provided further*, That such payments shall not exceed the sum of \$500,000;

Playa del Rey Inlet and Harbor, Venice, California: House Document Numbered 389, Eighty-third Congress: *Provided*, That Federal participation in the provision of entrance jetties, entrance channel, interior channel and central basin recommended in the project report and presently estimated to cost \$7,738,000 shall not exceed 50 per centum of the cost thereof;

Port Hueneme, California: House Document Numbered 362, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$5,437,000;

Richmond Harbor, California: House Document Numbered 395, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$2,086,000;

Oregon.

Rogue River, Harbor at Gold Beach, Oregon: Senate Document Numbered 83, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$3,758,700;

Umpqua Harbor and River, Scholfield River at Reedsport, Oregon: Senate Document Numbered 133, Eighty-first Congress, at an estimated cost of \$41,000;

Tillamook Bay and Bar, Oregon: Senate Document Numbered 128, Eighty-third Congress, at an estimated cost of \$1,500,000;